anisotropic temperature factors (SHELX76; Sheldrick, 1976). Empirical secondary-extinction correction applied according to the formula $F_{cor} = F(1)$ $-xF^2/\sin\theta$ where x converged to 0.00121 (6). The function minimized was $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$ with w = $3.6713/\sigma^2(F_a)$. Final R = 0.031, wR = 0.037 for 59 refined parameters, $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$. Minimum and maximum heights in the final $\Delta\rho$ map were -1.41and $2.85 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$, near the barium positions. Scattering factors including corrections for anomalous dispersion were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Figures were drawn with PLUTO78 (Motherwell & Clegg, 1978) and ORTEP (Johnson, 1965). All calculations were performed with an IBM PC/AT.

Discussion. Positional and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters are given in Table 1,* while details of interatomic distances and bond angles are given in Table 2. The structure of BaCu₂Si₂O₇ is shown in Fig. 1.

The characteristic feature of the structure is the existence of isolated anions of [Si₂O₇]⁶⁻. The interatomic Si-O distances ranging from 1.610(4) to 1.662 (2) Å are typical of this group and comparable with distances for other pyrosilicates (Batalieva & Patenko, 1967; Betechtin, 1950), and, especially Ba₂CuSi₂O₇ (Malinovskij, 1984). However, the angle Si—O—Si $[133.4 (1)^{\circ}]$ is smaller than in Ba₂CuSi₂O₇. This difference is a consequence of the smaller distance between barium and the bridging oxygen. The coordination polyhedra of barium and copper are also different from those in Ba₂CuSi₂O₇. The cations Ba^{2+} and Cu^{2+} coordinated by O atoms from $[Si_2O_7]^{6-}$ groups form irregular polyhedra (Fig. 2). The Ba²⁺ is coordinated by seven O atoms with distances ranging from 2.713 (6) to 2.932 (4) Å. The Cu^{2+} is coordinated by 4+1 O atoms. The nearest four O atoms and copper cation [with distances ranging from 1.926(3) to 1.973(3) Å] do not lie on the same plane and with the fifth oxygen [with Cu—O distance 2.789(4)Å] the coordinated O atoms form an irregular pyramid.

References

- BATALIEVA, N. G. & PATENKO, A. J. (1967). Zh. Strukt. Khim. 8(3), 248-249.
- BETECHTIN, A. G. (1950). Mineral. Pol. pp. 728-732.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- JANCZAK, J., KUBIAK, R. & GŁOWIAK, T. (1989). 12th Eur. Crystallogr. Meet., Moscow, USSR.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- MALINOVSKIJ, YU. A. (1984). Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 278(3), 616-619.
- MOTHERWELL, W. D. S. & CLEGG, W. (1978). PLUTO78. Program for plotting molecular and crystal structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- PIETRASZKO, A., WOŁCYRZ, M., HORYŃ, R., BUKOWSKI, Z., ŁUKASZEWICZ, K. & KLAMUT, J. (1988). Cryst. Res. Technol. 23(3), 351-357.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1986). SHELXS86. Program for crystal structure solution. Univ. of Göttingen, Federal Republic of Germany.
- WALKER, N. & STUART, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.
- WELCH, D. O., EMERY, V. J. & Cox, D. E. (1987). Nature (London), 327(28), 278-279.

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 1385-1388

Structure of Pentakis(methylammonium) Undecabromodibismuthate

By JANUSZ MATUSZEWSKI

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, School of Economics, 50-345 Wroclaw, Poland

AND RYSZARD JAKUBAS, LUCJAN SOBCZYK AND TADEUSZ GŁOWIAK

Institute of Chemistry, University of Wroclaw, 50-383 Wroclaw, Poland

(Received 30 March 1989; accepted 24 October 1989)

 3.119 g cm^{-3} , $\lambda(\text{Mo } K\alpha) = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$, $\mu = 257.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, F(000) = 2584, T = 297 K, refinement Abstract. $[NH_3(CH_3)]_5[Bi_2Br_{11}], M_r = 1457.3$, orthorhombic, $Pca2_1$, a = 13.405 (3), b = 14.462 (3), c =16.006 (3) Å, V = 3102.9 (7) Å³, $D_x =$ based on 1976 diffractometric data with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Z = 4,© 1990 International Union of Crystallography

0108-2701/90/081385-04\$03.00

1385

^{*} Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52778 (9 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

corrected for absorption and extinction gave R = 0.063, wR = 0.052. The crystals consist of Bi₂Br⁵⁻_{1.1} complex anions and methylammonium cations. Some of the cations exhibit partial disorder. The terminal Bi—Br bond lengths vary from 2.694 (7) to 2.938 (8) Å and the bridging Bi—Br distances are 3.060 (8) and 3.085 (8) Å. The structure of the bioctahedral anions is pseudocentrosymmetric.

Introduction. Solid alkylammonium halogenodiantimonates and -bismuthates are of interest because in some cases they show transitions to polar phases (Jakubas, 1986; Jakubas, Czapla, Galewski & Sobczyk, 1986; Jakubas, Sobczyk & Matuszewski, 1987; Jakubas, Krzewska, Bator & Sobczyk, 1988).

The large number of known compounds of this type results from the variety of possible cations and of anion stoichiometries. Various modes of bridging the Sb and Bi atoms *via* the halogen atoms have been found. Recently, Jakubas (1989) reported the preparation of $[NH_3(CH_3)]_5[Bi_2Br_{11}]$ which displays a ferroelectric phase transition at 312 K. The stoichiometry of the compound suggested the presence of bioctahedral anions with five negative charges. In the present paper we describe an X-ray diffraction study of $[NH_3(CH_3)]_5[Bi_2Br_{11}]$ at room temperature, *i.e.* for the ferroelectric phase.

Experimental. The single crystals were grown by slow evaporation of an aqueous solution of $[NH_3(CH_3)]$ -Br and $(BiO)_2CO_3$ with a small excess of HBr. The compound crystallized in the form of pseudohexagonal prisms. Preliminary oscillation and Weissenberg photographs did not reveal the true space group initially (reflexions hk0 with k = 2n + 1remained pseudoabsent even for long-duration Weissenberg exposures). Therefore the space group *Pcab* was assumed at first. The absence of a centre of symmetry, as imposed by the result of the ferroelectric hysteresis-loop examination, was successfully confirmed by completing the refinement of the structure in space group $Pca2_1$.

Small parallelepiped with dimensions not exceeding 0.15 mm along its edges was used for data acquisition. Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer with Mo Ka graphite-monochromatized radiation, $\omega-2\theta$ scans, $\theta_{\min} = 1^{\circ}$, $\theta_{\max} = 30^{\circ}$, cell parameters by least squares from setting angles of 25 reflexions in range $15 < 2\theta < 33^{\circ}$, ω -scan width $(0.65 + 0.35 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$, max. scan time 60 s, h - 1-18, k - 1-20, l - 1-22. Intensities of three control reflexions (641, 156, 512) varied $\pm 5\%$. Data corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. For subsequent calculations 1976 reflexions with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ were retained of the 4952 unique reflexions measured. An absorption correction was applied using *DIFABS* (Walker & Stuart, 1983). Min. and max. absorption corrections 0.705 and 1.433. Isotropic extinction correction included in the last cycles of refinement (9×10^{-4}) .

As indicated above, the structure was first solved in space group Pcab using SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1986). The correct orientation of the structure in the Pca2₁ space group was found using MULTAN80 (Main et al., 1980). Most of the light atoms were found in successive difference syntheses, except for two methylammonium cations [C(11)-N(11)] and C(12)—N(12)], which were placed in geometrically calculated positions as related to their pseudosymmetrically related counterparts in Pcab. (The corresponding peaks were identified in a subsequent difference synthesis but with heights representing 'lowered' electron density.) The refinement of F with anisotropic temperature factors for Bi and Br atoms, and constrained C-N bond lengths (1.49 Å) converged to R = 0.063 and wR = 0.052 [w = $1/\sigma^2(F)$]. Isotropic temperature factors for C(11), N(11), C(12), N(12), C(13), N(13) remained high during refinement indicating at least partial disorder of those cations. Difference syntheses also support this conclusion. Efforts to refine the structure assuming shared occupancy between possible positions of those cations failed. $\Delta \rho$ in final difference synthesis was $\pm 2.0 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$. $(\Delta/\rho)_{\text{max}} = 0.07$. The refinement of the parameters for the inverted structure led to R =0.068 and wR = 0.058. The refinement was executed with SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976), the interatomic distances and angles were calculated with XTL/ XTLE (Syntex, 1976) programs. Atomic scattering factors for neutral atoms were taken from Cromer & Mann (1968) and values of f' and f'' for the anomalous-dispersion correction from Cromer & Liberman (1970). No allowance was made for the scattering of H atoms.

Discussion. Atomic coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters are listed in Table 1.* Bond lengths and angles within the anion are given in Table 2. Selected N…Br distances involving possible hydrogen bonds are given in Table 3.

The crystals are built of discrete undecabromodibismuthate anions and methylammonium cations which make contacts with one another of between 3.37(3) and 3.66(3) Å. The content of the unit cell is depicted in Fig. 1, whereas one complete anion is shown in Fig. 2.

The title compound appears to be the first halogenobismuthate in which discrete binuclear $Bi_2Br_{11}^{5-}$ anions are formed by a single bromine bridge. The

^{*} Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52755 (16 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic temperature parameters (Å²) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

$B_{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_i B_{ii}.$

	x	у	Ζ	$B_{\rm eq}/B_{\rm iso}$
Bi(1)	0.8443 (1)	0.3322 (1)	0.31	2.11 (8)
Bi(2)	0.1676 (1)	0.1660 (1)	0.0833 (1)	1.66 (7)
Br(1)	0.0095 (4)	0.2459 (7)	0.2008 (6)	4.3 (2)
Br(11)	0.7343 (4)	0.1594 (4)	0.2962 (5)	3.8 (3)
Br(12)	0.9636 (4)	0.4909 (5)	0.3220 (5)	5.3 (3)
Br(13)	0.7102 (5)	0.4012 (6)	0.4196 (5)	5.3 (4)
Br(14)	0.9541 (5)	0.2568 (6)	0.4535 (5)	5.2 (4)
Br(15)	0.7485 (6)	0.4021 (5)	0.1724 (5)	5.7 (4)
Br(21)	0.2692 (4)	0.3363 (4)	0.0773 (5)	4.3 (3)
Br(22)	0.0610 (4)	0.9933 (4)	0.0913 (4)	3.5 (2)
Br(23)	0.2985 (4)	0.0867 (5)	0.9776 (5)	3.5 (3)
Br(24)	0.0516 (5)	0.2227 (6)	0.9488 (4)	5.3 (4)
Br(25)	0.2849 (5)	0.1094 (5)	0.2268 (5)	4.5 (3)
N(11)	-0.013 (6)	0.517 (5)	0.071 (5)	11.4 (21)
CÌIÍ	0.043 (7)	0.430 (6)	0.053 (6)	15.2 (34)
C(12)	0.224 (5)	0.365 (4)	0.313 (4)	7.6 (16)
N(12)	0.189 (3)	0.283 (3)	0.361 (3)	5.3 (10)
N(13)	1.007 (3)	0.772 (4)	0.171 (3)	5.4 (12)
C(13)	0.998 (5)	0.724 (5)	0.253 (4)	7.2 (19)
N(21)	1.087 (2)	0.981 (3)	0.882 (2)	3.7 (8)
C(21)	0.990 (3)	0.980 (3)	0.836 (3)	3.1 (10)
C(22)	0.283 (3)	0.823 (3)	0.055 (3)	4·0 (11)
N(22)	0.328 (2)	0.900 (2)	0.103 (2)	3.3 (7)

Table 2. Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in the complex anion with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

$Bi(1) \rightarrow Br(1)$	3.085 (8)	Bi(2) - Br(1)	3.060 (8)
$Bi(1) \rightarrow Br(11)$	2.910 (6)	Bi(2) - Br(21)	2.816 (6)
Bi(1) - Br(12)	2.804(7)	Bi(2) - Br(22)	2.880 (6)
Bi(1) - Br(13)	2.703(8)	Bi(2) - Br(23)	2.694 (7)
$B_{i}(1) - B_{r}(14)$	2.038 (8)	Bi(2) - Br(24)	2.779 (7)
$D_{i}(1) = D_{i}(15)$	2,743 (8)	Bi(2) - Br(25)	2.901 (8)
$\mathbf{DI}(1) - \mathbf{DI}(13)$	2 143 (0)	$\mathbf{DI}(\mathbf{Z})$ $\mathbf{DI}(\mathbf{Z})$	2 /01 (0)
Br(1)—Bi(1)—Br(1)) 88.5 (2)	Br(1) - Bi(2) - Br(2)	1) 91.5 (2)
Br(1) - Bi(1) - Br(12)	87.7(2)	Br(1) - Bi(2) - Br(2)	2) 87.5 (2)
Br(1) = Bi(1) = Br(13)	174.0(2)	Br(1) - Bi(2) - Br(2)	3) 176.3 (2)
Br(1) = Bi(1) = Br(14)	86.2(2)	Br(1) - Bi(2) - Br(2)	4) $88.7(2)$
$B_r(1) = B_r(1) = B_r(1)$	(1, 7, (2))	Br(1) - Bi(2) - Br(2)	5) $89.7(2)$
$D_{1}(1) = D_{1}(1) = D_{1}(1)$	(1) (1) (2) (2)	$B_r(21) = B_i(2) = B_r(2)$	22) 179.0 (2)
Br(11)Bi(1)Bi(1	(2) = 17577(2)	D(21) = D(2) = D(1)	(22) $(17) 0 (2)$
Br(11)-Bi(1)-Br(1	3) 91.7 (2)	Br(21) - Bi(2) - Br(23) 92.1 (2)
Br(11) - Bi(1) - Br(1)	4) 89.7 (2)	Br(21)— $Bi(2)$ — $Br($	24) 89.2 (2)
Br(11)-Bi(1)-Br(1	5) 91.1 (2)	Br(21)-Bi(2)-Br(25) 90.7 (2)
Br(12) - Bi(1) - Br(1)	3) 91.9 (2)	Br(22)—Bi(2)—Br(23) 89.0 (2)
Br(12) - Bi(1) - Br(1)	4) 88.0 (2)	Br(22)-Bi(2)-Br(24) 90.7 (2)
Br(12) - Bi(1) - Br(1)	5) 91.2 (2)	Br(22)—Bi(2)—Br(25) 89.4 (2)
Br(13) - Bi(1) - Br(1)	4) 88.9 (2)	Br(23)-Bi(2)-Br(24) 90.2 (2)
Br(13) - Bi(1) - Br(1)	5) 94.2 (2)	Br(23)-Bi(2)-Br(25) 91.4 (2)
Br(14) - Bi(1) - Br(1)	(5) 177.8 (2)	Br(24)-Bi(2)-Br(25) 178.4 (2)
$B_{i}(1) = B_{i}(1) = B_{i}(2)$	176.5 (3)		, - (-)
D(1) D(1) D(2)	1,000(0)		

 Table 3. Selected N····Br distances (Å) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

N(11)Br(21 ⁱ)	3.61 (8)	$N(21)\cdots Br(11^{vi})$	3·43 (4)
N(12)····Br(14")	3·50 (4)	$N(21) \cdots Br(22^{n})$	3·57 (3)
N(12)····Br(21 ⁱⁱⁱ)	3·59 (4)	$N(21) \cdots Br(23^{viii})$	
$N(12) \cdots Br(23^{i\nu})$	3·40 (5)	$N(22)\cdots Br(22^{ix})$	3·48 (3)
$N(13) \cdots Br(14^{i\nu})$	3·55 (5)	$N(22)\cdots Br(23^{x})$	3·39 (3)
N(13) - Br(22)	3.52 (6)	$N(22) \cdots Br(25^{viii})$	3.66 (3)

Symmetry code: (i) $-\frac{1}{2} + x$, 1 - y, z; (ii) -1 + x, y, z; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, y, $\frac{1}{2} + z$; (iv) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, y, $-\frac{1}{2} + z$; (v) 1 - x, 1 - y, $-\frac{1}{2} + z$; (vi) 1 - x, 1 - y, $\frac{1}{2} + z$; (vii) x, y, 1 + z; (viii) x, 1 + y, z; (ix) $\frac{1}{2} + x$, 2 - y, z; (x) x, 1 + y, -1 + z.

bridging bonds [3.060 (8) and 3.085 (8) Å] are elongated and the trans Bi-Br(terminal) bonds [2.694 (7) and 2.703 (8) Å] shortened compared with the remaining Bi-Br bond lengths of 2.743(8) to 2.938 (8) Å. It seems probable that the deformation of the octahedra is in part caused by the hydrogenbonding interactions with the methylammonium cations, as was suggested for diethylammonium hexabromobismuthate(III) by Lazarini (1985). However, the N…Br distances in the present study do not indicate clearly the nature of such interactions. As can be seen from Table 3, Br(23) could form contacts with three N atoms via hydrogen bonding. On the other hand, Br(13) makes no contacts with methylammonium cations. Nevertheless, the bridging effects seem to dominate and the Bi(2)-Br(23) bond remains as short as the Bi(1)-Br(13) bond.

Two of the five methylammonium cations [N(21)-C(21) and N(22)-C(22)] are not disordered and are positioned so that the nearest Br atoms are at distances of 3.37 (3) to 3.57 (3) Å and 3.39 (3) to 3.66 (3) Å from N(21) and N(22), respectively. In the case of the C(13)-N(13) methylammonium cation three Br atoms closest to C(13) are at distances of 3.30 (6) and 3.66 (7) Å while three Br atoms closest to N(13) are at distances of 3.52 (6) to 3.55 (5) Å. It



Fig. 1. Stereopacking diagram of the structure of $[NH_3(CH_3)]_{5^-}$ $[Bi_2Br_{11}]$. Filled ellipsoids correspond to Bi atoms; solid-linecontoured ellipsoids represent Br atoms; discrete vectors show C—N bond axes in methylammonium cations.



Fig. 2. An ORTEP (Johnson, 1976) view of the $Bi_2Br_{11}^{5-}$ anion with atom-numbering scheme.

1388 PENTAKIS(METHYLAMMONIUM) UNDECABROMODIBISMUTHATE

should be pointed out that in the false Pcab space group N(13) and C(13) are symmetry related and cannot be distinguished. It is therefore very probable that these atoms can statistically interchange their positions in the crystal (the refinement of the structure in *Pcab* stopped at R = 0.112 and wR = 0.113and led to unacceptable temperature factors and bond lengths for C-N groups). The disordering of the N(11)—C(11) and N(12)—C(12) cations precludes any interpretation of their interactions with neighbouring anions.

Thanks are due to Dr Tadeusz Lis for his stimulating suggestions and discussions.

References

- CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1970), J. Chem. Phys. 53. 1891 - 1898.
- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.

- JAKUBAS, R. (1986). Solid State Commun. 60, 389-391.
- JAKUBAS, R. (1989). Solid State Commun. 69, 267-269.
- JAKUBAS, R., CZAPLA, Z., GALEWSKI, Z. & SOBCZYK, L. (1986). Ferroelectr. Lett. 5, 143-148.
- JAKUBAS, R., KRZEWSKA, U., BATOR, G. & SOBCZYK, L. (1988). Ferroelectrics, 77, 129-135.
- JAKUBAS, R., SOBCZYK, L. & MATUSZEWSKI, J. (1987). Ferroelectrics, 74, 339-345.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- LAZARINI, F. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 1617-1619.
- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1980). MULTAN80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1986). SHELXS86. Program for the solution of crystal structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Federal Republic of Germany.
- Syntex (1976). XTL/XTLE Structure Determination System. Syntex Analytical Instruments, Cupertino, California, USA.
- WALKER, N. & STUART, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 1388-1391

Structure of 2-(1-Hydroxyethyl)-1-ferrocenecarboxylic Acid Methyl Ester

BY YAOGUANG LUO, RICHARD J. BARTON AND BEVERLY E. ROBERTSON

Department of Chemistry, University of Regina, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada S4S 0.42

(Received 26 June 1989; accepted 9 November 1989)

Abstract. Methyl 2-(1-hydroxyethyl)-1-ferrocenecarboxylate, [Fe($C_{14}H_{16}O_3$)], $M_r = 288.13$, monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, a = 8.378 (3), b = 9.2845 (8), c = 16.669 (5) Å, $\beta = 103.13^{\circ}$, $V = 1262.7 \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4. $D_r =$ 1.52 g cm^{-3} λ (Mo $K\overline{\alpha}$) = 0.71069 Å, $\mu =$ 1000 km^{-1} , F(000) = 600, T = 293 (2) K. R = 0.036for 1913 reflections with $I/\sigma(I) \ge 2.0$. The configurations of the molecules are (R,S) and (S,R). The hydroxyl groups are hydrogen bonded to carbonyl groups on adjacent molecules.

Introduction. Transition-metal catalysts containing chiral ferrocenyl complexes as a ligand have been found to be useful in asymmetric syntheses (Sun, 1986; Cullen & Woollins, 1981; Hayashi & Kumada, 1982). α -Substituted derivatives of N.N-dimethylaminoethylferrocene possessing either a center, a plane or both a center and a plane of chirality are effective chiral ligands in asymmetric syntheses catalyzed by rhodium, platinum, palladium and nickel complexes (Hayashi, Yamamoto & Kumada, 1974; Hayashi, Mise, Mitachi, Yamamoto & Kumada, 1976; Cullen & Woollins, 1982; Appleton, Cullen,

0108-2701/90/081388-04\$03.00

Evans, Kim & Trotter, 1985; Hayashi, Katsumura, Konishi & Kumada, 1979; Hayashi, Tamao, Katsuro, Nakae & Kumada, 1980; Hayashi, Konishi, Fukushima, Mise, Kagotani, Tajika & Kumada, 1982; Hayashi, Yamamoto & Ito, 1988). In attempts to obtain diketone derivatives of N,N-dimethylaminoferrocene, the title compound was obtained and its crystallographic study is reported here.

Experimental. A crystal sample of the title compound was provided by W. R. Cullen of the University of British Columbia. It was obtained from the reaction of 2-(N,N-dimethylaminoethylferrocene)carboxylic acid with sodium bicarbonate and methyl iodide in dimethylacetamide followed by hydrolysis by addition of 10% aqueous sodium chloride.

An orange crystal having an irregular shape and approximate dimensions $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.15$ mm was sealed in a quartz capillary. Cell dimensions were determined from the refinement of 34 reflections with $31 \le 2\theta \le 39^\circ$. Intensity data collected with modified Picker four-circle diffractometer with graphitemonochromated Mo K α radiation, $\theta/2\theta$ scan tech-

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography